

Three dimensional tracking of individual quantum dots

(plus some other stuff, time permitting)

Jim Werner Center for Integrated Nanotechnologies Los Alamos National Laboratories

Quantum dots as fluorescent labels for tagging biomolecules





"Quantum dots for live cells, in vivo imaging and diagnostics," Michalet, Piinaud, Bentolila, Tsay,Doose, Sendaresan, Wu, Gambhir, and Weiss

28 JANUARY 2005 VOL 307 SCIENCE www.sciencemag.org

Why track a single, small particle? examples from one and two dimensions



Myosin V Walks Hand-Over-Hand: Single Fluorophore Imaging with 1.5-nm Localization

Ahmet Yildiz,¹ Joseph N. Forkey,³ Sean A. McKinney,^{1,2} Taekjip Ha,^{1,2} Yale E. Goldman,³ Paul R. Selvin^{1,2*}

SCIENCE VOL 300 27 JUNE 2003





Potential for ~nm spatial precision

Can follow dynamic, stochastic processes



Phospholipids undergo hop diffusion in compartmentalized cell membrane

Fujiwara, Ritchie, Murakoshi, Jacobson, Kusumi

The Journal of Cell Biology

Volume 157, Number 6, 2002

We're not living in flatland:





Diffusive Motion of a protein

cytoplasm ~ 4 μ m²/s to 20 μ m²/s membrane ~0.01 to 1 μ m²/s

Directed Motion

kinesins ~ 0.02-2 μ m/s myosins ~ 0.2 to 60 μ m/s

For 3D tracking, start with confocal microscopy as a base:





Simulating our microscope: Using a few things we might know





Yields # of photons absorbed per second, Yields # of photons emitted per second



"Three dimensional tracking of fluorescent particles" Lessard, Goodwin, Werner SPIE Vol. 6092 (2006) 609205-1 to 609205-8.

Simulation, quantum dot D=1.0 um^2/s; NO TRACKING





Tracking simulation, quantum dot D=1.0 um^2/s





The tracking apparatus (Hardware):







Equipment:

A Fast closed loop XYZ Piezo stage (PI-733-3DD) SPC 630 (not used for tracking) Four SPADs Pulsed semiconductor diode laser 60x, 1.2 NA water immersion objective LabView REALTIME

Experimental Data: Glycerol/water mixture, D~ 1 um^2/s







Randomly selected 3-D trajectories



2 µm scale bar













More Randomly selected 3-D trajectories





How do you know you're tracking a single qdot?



- 1. Count rate is what you'd expect from a single quantum dot.
- 2. The mean squared displacement of the measured trajectories reflects particle size:



From 3D trajectories: R_H = 16 nm From FCS: R_H = 15 nm

"Three dimensional tracking of individual quantum dots" Lessard, Goodwin, Werner (submitted)

Future directions: 3D trajectories in cells, over-lapped with structure







Time-resolved spectroscopy while tracking





Raw Photons: ANY analysis method

Fluorescence lifetime measurements: Proximity to a FRET partner Conformation of molecule

Not limited by camera "frame rate"

Window on cellular process spanning 100 ps to 10 seconds!



We can track single quantum dots in 3D at rates faster than many intracellular transport processes

Next Steps

Into the cell Rates of motion Spatial accuracy Measure CEF



Protein folding







Yeast cytochrome c labeled with TRITC





Fluorescence Correlation Spectroscopy (FCS)

to measure gross conformation and fluctuations in fluorescence intensity





Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy of cyt c-TMR





Werner, Joggerst, Dyer, and Goodwin "A two dimensional view of the folding energy landscape of cytochrome c," Proc. Natl. Acac. Sci, 103, 11130-11135 (2006).

Site-specific distance distributions measured by FRET via TCSPC



$$k = k_{sp} + k_{NR}$$

$$k_{TR} \sim |\langle d^*a | M | da^* \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{R_o^6}{R^6}$$

$$k = k_{sp} + k_{NR} + k_{TR}$$

$$R_o \sim 40 \text{ Å}$$





Maximum Entropy Methods



Maximize $S = \sum p_i \bullet \log(p_i)$

P(k) distribution from TCSPC MEM







Structural characterization of folding intermediates in cytochrome *c* by H-exchange labelling and proton NMR

Heinrich Roder, Gülnur A. Elöve & S. Walter Englander

Nature 335, 700 - 704 (20 October 1988)

 $U \rightrightarrows I \rightrightarrows N$

Correlation between FCS and TCSPC





Werner, Joggerst, Dyer, and Goodwin "A two dimensional view of the folding energy landscape of cytochrome c," Proc. Natl. Acac. Sci, 103, 11130-11135 (2006).



Combination of methods reveals details that can't be easily discerned by either independently

Not only "2D" static view of landscape

Possible use of 3D tracking.



Single Molecule Studies of Antigen-Antibody Binding: Why



Scientific Goals:

- 1. Explore "conformational memory" effects
- 2. Correlate average affinity with deviation from average
- 3. Examine reasons for loss of affinity due to surface immobilization
- 4. Observe single molecule dynamics for decades of timescales



"Antibody Multispecificity Mediated by Conformational Diversity" L. C. James, P. Roversi, and D. S. Tawfik Science 299 1362-1367 (2003)









Wide-field imaging by total internal reflection microscopy



a)

b)



"Surface-immobilized antibody-antigen binding affinity studies by single molecule fluorescence imaging" Temirov, J, Bradbury, A., Werner, JH. Proceedings SPIE Vol. 6092 (2006)

Antigen-Antibody Conclusions



Progress thus far:

Surface Chemistry: "Clean" enough for single molecule detection Prevents non-specific binding to levels needed for single molecule studies Preserves antibody activity

Data Acquisition:

Image and data analysis software written



Future:

Need to distinguish binding from blinking Learn how to account for it in the data Switch to a different fluorescent reporter

Acknowledgements





3D Tracking

Guillaume Lessard Peter Goodwin Jim Werner Funding: LDRD-ER, Tech Mat, NNEDC

Protein Folding

Jim Werner Raymond Joggerst Peter Goodwin Brian Dyer Dick Keller (PI, LDRD-DR)

Single Molecule Sorting

Anton Malko Mike Ward Jim Werner Antigen-Antibody Binding

Jamshid Temirov Andrew Bradbury Jim Werner